### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOAN DUNN	<del></del> :
2301 Oriole Drive	<b>:</b>
Bensalem, PA 19020	;
Plaintiff,	: CIVIL ACTION
,	. No
V.	:
BUCKS COUNTY	: :
COMMUNITY COLLEGE	: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
275 Swamp Road	:
Newtown, PA 18940	:
and	:
LINDA FOSSLER	;
c/o Bucks County Community College	:
275 Swamp Road	;
Newtown, PA 18940	;
and	:
TRACY DONALDSON	:
c/o Bucks County Community College	:
275 Swamp Road	:
Newtown, PA 18940	:
	:
Defendants.	:

### **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Joan Dunn, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

### I. Introduction

1. Plaintiff has initiated this action to redress violations by Bucks County Community College, Linda Fossler, and Tracey Donaldson (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Defendants") for violations of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA" - 29 U.S.C. §§ 621 et. seq.), 42 U.S.C. § 1981, and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act

("PHRA"). As a direct consequence of Defendants' unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

### II. Jurisdiction and Venue

- 2. This action is initiated pursuant to the ADEA and 42 U.S.C. § 1981. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants' contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 3. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the claims arise under laws of the United States. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state-law claim(s) because such claim(s) arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as his federal claims asserted herein.
- 4. Venue is properly laid in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), because Defendants reside in and/or conduct business in this judicial district and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.
- 5. Plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedies (with respect to her ADEA claims) because she timely filed Charges with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and now files the instant lawsuit within 90 days from receiving her right to sue letter from the EEOC.

### III. Parties

- 6. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 7. Plaintiff is an adult with an address as set forth above.
- 8. Defendant Bucks County Community College. ("Defendant School") is a Pennsylvania community college that provides educational services to students.
- 9. Defendant Linda Fossler (hereinafter "Defendant Fossler") is upon information and belief a high-level manager and a decision maker concerning terms and conditions of employment for employees (including Plaintiff) of Defendant School including but not limited to hiring, firing, and issuing discipline.
- 10. Defendant Tracey Donaldson (hereinafter "Defendant Donaldson) is upon information and belief a high-level Human Resources manager and a decision maker concerning terms and conditions of employment for employees (including Plaintiff) of Defendant School including but not limited to hiring, firing, and issuing discipline.<sup>1</sup>
- 11. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants, and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for the benefit of Defendant Company.

### IV. Factual Background

- 12. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 13. Plaintiff is a 70-year-old, African American female.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Defendant Fossler and Defendant Donaldson are hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendant Individuals," unless otherwise indicated herein.

- 14. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff was employed with Defendant School as an administrative supporter in the Public Safety Department.
  - 15. Plaintiff worked for Defendant School for approximately 8 years.
- 16. Throughout Plaintiff's employment, Plaintiff was supervised by numerous members of management; however, during Plaintiff's last three (3) years of employment, Plaintiff was supervised by Defendant Fossler (a Caucasian female in her 50's).
- 17. During Plaintiff's employment, Plaintiff was subjected to a hostile work environment because of her race because Defendants' management would consistently make discriminatory and derogatory comments about her race including but not limited to calling Plaintiff "ghetto," telling Plaintiff that she knows what it is like "for people in the hood," and telling Plaintiff that "black people get too offended."
- 18. While under the supervision of Defendant Fossler, Plaintiff was also subjected to a hostile work environment because of her age because Defendant Fossler would make frequent discriminatory and derogatory remarks about Plaintiff's age, including but not limited to telling Plaintiff that she would "forget things because of her age," that she was "no good" to Defendant Fossler "because of [her] age," and constantly asking Plaintiff when she planned to retire.
- 19. Plaintiff was also talked to abruptly, treated in a generally demeaning and condescending manner, and treated in a disparate manner with respect to other terms and conditions as compared to her younger, non-black co-workers (including but not limited to being issued unwarranted discipline for things that Plaintiff's younger, non-black co-workers were not disciplined for).
- 20. In close proximity to Plaintiff's termination, Plaintiff complained to Defendants' management, including but not limited to, Defendant Donaldson (Human Resources Manager)

who is a Caucasian female in her 50's, that she was being subjected to discriminatory treatment based on her race and age and that she wanted same to cease.

- 21. Soon after Plaintiff made complaints of race and age discrimination to Defendant Donaldson, Defendant Donaldson relayed said complaints to Defendant Fossler.
- 22. Plaintiff was terminated on or about September 28, 2012 via a letter from Defendant Donaldson.
- 23. Plaintiff's termination was completely pretextual as it followed shortly after her complaints of race and age discrimination which were not properly investigated or resolved as of the date Plaintiff was terminated.

# Count I <u>Violations of 42 U.S.C. § 1981</u> (Race Discrimination/Hostile Work Environment/Retaliation) - Against All Defendants -

- 24. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 25. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that Defendants discriminated against her and terminated her because of her race and/or her complaints about race discrimination.
- 26. Plaintiff was also subjected to a hostile work environment during her period of employment due to her race and/or complaints of racial discrimination through disparate treatment, pretextual admonishment, and demeaning and/or racially motivated treatment towards her.
- 27. Defendant Individuals are individually liable because they decided and orchestrated the pattern of discrimination alleged herein, and they were high-level decision makers concerning the retaliatory and discriminatory decisions asserted herein (including, but not limited to the decision to terminate Plaintiff).

28. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

#### **Count II**

## Violations of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA") (Age Discrimination/Hostile Work Environment/Retaliation) - Against Defendant School Only -

- 29. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 30. Plaintiff believes and avers herein that her age and/or her complaints about Defendants' unlawful age discrimination practices was a determinative factor in her termination.
- 31. Plaintiff was also subjected to a hostile work environment during her period of employment due to her age and/or complaints of age discrimination through disparate treatment, pretextual admonishment, and demeaning and/or racially motivated treatment towards her.
  - 32. These actions as aforesaid constitute unlawful retaliation under the ADEA.

### Count III <u>Violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA")</u> (Discrimination & Retaliation)

- 33. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 34. Plaintiff reasserts and realleges each and every allegation as set forth in Counts I and II as such actions constitute identical violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act.

### WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an order providing that:

A. Defendants are to be prohibited from continuing to maintain their illegal policy, practice, or custom(s) of discriminating against employees based on their race or age, and are to be ordered to promulgate an effective policy against such discrimination/retaliation/interference and to adhere thereto;

B. Defendants are to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff

whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for

Defendants' illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay increases.

bonuses, medical and other benefits, training, promotions, pension, and seniority. Plaintiff

should be accorded those benefits illegally withheld from the date he first suffered the aforesaid

unlawful actions at the hands of Defendants until the date of verdict;

C. Plaintiff is to be accorded any and all other equitable and legal relief as the Court

deems just, proper, and appropriate;

D. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable

legal fees as provided by applicable federal and state law;

E. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the

financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in

applicable federal law;

F. Plaintiff's claims are to receive a trial by jury to the extent allowed by applicable

law. Plaintiff has also endorsed this demand on the caption of this Complaint in accordance with

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF, & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R Karpf, Esq.

Richard J. Albanese, Esq.

3331 Street Road

Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: November 14, 2013

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### **CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM**

JOAN DUNN		CIVILITOR	٠.
. <b>v.</b>	•		
BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNI	ITY COLLEGE, et al	NO.	
filing the complaint and serve a coside of this form.) In the event designation, that defendant shall.	ppy on all defendants. ( that a defendant does with its first appearan a Case Management T	ny Reduction Plan of this court, contignation Form in all civil cases at the (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the not agree with the plaintiff regardice, submit to the clerk of court and frack Designation Form specifying igned.	ne time of he reverse ding said
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLL	OWING CASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases broug	ght under 28 U.S.C. §	2241 through § 2255.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases reque and Human Services denying			( )
(c) Arbitration - Cases required t	to be designated for ar	bitration under Local Civil Rule 53	.2. ( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving control exposure to asbestos.	laims for personal inju	ry or property damage from	( )
(e) Special Management – Cases commonly referred to as comparate court. (See reverse side of	plex and that need spe	cial or intense management by	

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-4970	akarpf@karpf-law.com
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for
11/20/13	Ari R. Karpf	Plaintiff

(f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.

( )

(X)

(Civ. 660) 10/02

management cases.)

### Case 2:13-cv-06726-TR Document 1 Filed 11/20/13 Page 9 of 10

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to I assignment to appropriate calendar.	oe used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the p	ourpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 2301 Oriole Drive, Bensalem, PA 19020		
Address of Defendant: 275 Swamp Road, Newtown, PA 18940		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendants place of business		
(Use Reverse Side For A	Additional Space)	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock	2
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)		• ,
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yesu No <b>X</b>	<del></del>
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:  Case Number: Judge	Det Comband	
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:	·
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:		
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	ear previously terminated action in this court?	•
	Yes□ No□	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior saction in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated	
	Yes□ No□	
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No□	
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	ts case filed by the same individual?	
	Yes□ No□	
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)		<del></del>
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases;	
1. D Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1.   Insurance Contract and Other Contracts	•
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury	
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3.   Assault, Defamation	
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury	
5. D Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury	
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)	
7. X Civil Rights	7. Products Liability	
8.  Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos	
9.  Securities Act(s) Cases	9.   All other Diversity Cases	
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)	
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases	(1 louist specify)	
(Please specify)		
ARBITRATION CERT  (Check Appropriate Ca., counsel of record do hereby certif	ntegory)	
I, All R. Karpt counsel of record do hereby certiful Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	y. belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case appoint at a second	£
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	control of the st	ım or
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.		
DATE: 1 20 6	ARK2484	
Attorney at-Law	Attorney I.D.# 91538	
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	re has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court	
except as noted above.		
DATE: 11/2011	ARK2484	
Attorney-at-Law CIV. 609 (5/2012)	Attorney I.D.# 91538	

### Case 2:13-cv-06726-TR Document 1 Filed 11/20/13 Page 10 of 10 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	INDINGEROUS ON THE REVERSE OF THE PORM.	DEFENDANTS	
DUNN, JOAN		BUCKS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE, et al.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	e of First Listed Plaintiff Bucks	D. I	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Karpf, Karpf & Ce Two Greenwood	ame, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add erutti, P.C., 3331 Street Road, Square, Suite 128, Bensalem, 639-0801, akarpf@karpf-law.co	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)	
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF DEF  Citizen of This State  I locorporated or Principal Place  Of Business In This State	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 of Business In Another State	
		Citizen or Subject of a 🔲 3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation 🗍 6 🖟 6 Foreign Country	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	DESTRUCTION OF THE CONTRACT OF	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &  PERSONAL INJUR  □ 362 Personal Injury -  Med. Malpractic  365 Personal Injury -  Product Liability		
ORIGIN  (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  Original Proceeding  2 Removed from Appellate Court  Appellate Court  Appellate Court  Appellate Court  4 Reinstated or Reopened  5 Transferred from another district (specify)  Appellate from Appellate Court  5 Transferred from another district (specify)  Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  Age Discrimination in Employment Act "ADEA" 29USC621, 42USC1981  Brief description of cause: Violations of the ADEA, 42USC1981 and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act "PHRA"			
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: Yes ON NO			
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER	
112013			
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD			